

#### 1 Supplementary Material

- 2 Authors may submit supplementary files to be made available alongside their article. Supplementary material is available
- 3 online only on the article's webpage (it is not published in the PDF of the article). Supplementary files are not copy-edited
- 4 nor proofread by the Editorial Office, and it is the authors' responsibility to guarantee the scientific accuracy of these
- 5 files.

6

7

8

9

10

11

## 1. Appendix Tables.

## **Table A.** Proposed Unit Size and Household Size Rules

Unit size: Number of bedrooms	Minimum number of people in household	Maximum number of people in household
0	1	1
1	1	2
2	2	4
3	4	6
4	6	8
5	8	10
6	10	12

Source: This table is a partial reproduction of a table on page 5-42 of the *Housing Choice Voucher Program Guidebook* produced by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

#### 2. Variance to 2014 Calculations

- Total cost estimate was \$121.61 billion in 2014, and \$100.75 billion in 2021.
- 13 Because the number of children, marriage status, and income all factor into the amount of EITC a family receives, the
- data available to estimate program participation at the metropolitan area level is limited and varies by year. In 2014,
- 15 tax filer data is available by the number of children in the family and by income bins. However, for 2021, the IRS only
- provides tax filer data separated by much larger income bins than 2014 and no data for the number of children. We
- used the proportion of children from the 2014 filer data for 2021. We thus ran the simulations and provided results for
- 18 both 2014 and 2021.
- 19 There are at least two possible reasons for the decrease in cost from 2014 to 2021: first, the housing supplement was
- 20 calculated based on higher annual incomes in 2021 because the tax filer data from the IRS was separated by larger
- 21 income bins, and second, income may have increased more than EITC requirements, leading to a decrease in families
- 22 eligible for the EITC. The cost of the proposed program will vary as the economy continues to fluctuate and EITC rules
- get updated. Ponentially, the large federal financial outlays to support families during the COVID-19 pandemic including
- the child tax credit, which may contributed to lower EITC participation.

# 3. Adjustments to counter risk points

- 27 There are many ways one might structure the program to retain the strong work emphasis. For example, one could
- establish a minimum annual income that must be earned before a worker could receive the housing supplement. This
- minimum could be established relative to the maximum amount of income a worker could receive and still participate
- 30 in the program. This proration could occur in a step-like fashion. For example, if the maximum annual income for a
- 31 worker was \$40,000, one might establish that a worker must earn \$20,000 before the housing supplement would kick
- 32 in

25

26

© Year by the author(s); licensee Cogitatio (Lisbon, Portugal). This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY).



- 33 One might also pro-rate the housing benefit such that the amount of FMR relief received by a worker's family is linked
- 34 to the amount of income relative to the maximum income threshold. For example, the worker might receive 10% of the
- 35 housing supplement if the worker's annual income was up to 30% of the maximum threshold, 30% of the supplement if
- income was between 30% and 50% of the maximum threshold, 60% of the supplement if income was between 50% and
- 37 80% of the threshold, and 100% if income was more than 80% of the threshold. One might also combine these to create
- 38 a tiered system with a minimum floor. However, these are only suggestions. Each adjustment makes the program
- 39 slightly more complicated and makes implementation and monitoring somewhat more difficult. A robust discussion to
- 40 consider an optimal approach is welcomed.

## 4. Childless EITC 2021 Temporary revision

- 42 With the temporary increase from the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021, workers who have no children and have
- incomes below roughly \$21,400 (\$27,400 for a married couple) received a small EITC benefit. This temporary benefit
- only covered the 2021 tax year and was not reauthorized by Congress.
- 45 This estimate derives from 2021 EITC data since our simulation is based on 2021 figures with data from the Urban
- 46 Institute and Brookings Institution Tax Policy Center EITC Parameters 1975 to 2023.
- 47 https://www.taxpolicycenter.org/statistics/eitc-parameters. In 2023, the average household income of HCV recipients
- 48 was \$17,835 and 67% had incomes under \$20,000; only 28% of recipients relied on wages as a major source of income.
- 49 In 2023, households contributed an average of \$450 toward rent while HUD contributed an average of \$1,068 (HUD,
- 50 2023).

41