

EDITORIAL

Open Access Journal

The Global Disappearance of Decent Work? Precarity, Exploitation, and Work-Based Harms in the Neoliberal Era

Adam Formby ¹, Mustapha Sheikh ², and Bob Jeffery ³ ⁶

- ¹ School of Social and Political Sciences, University of Lincoln, UK
- ² School of Languages, Cultures and Societies, University of Leeds, UK

Correspondence: Bob Jeffery (r.f.jeffery@shu.ac.uk)

Submitted: 29 May 2004 Published: 25 June 2024

Issue: This editorial is part of the issue "The Global Disappearance of Decent Work? Precarity, Exploitation, and Work-Based Harms in the Neoliberal Era" edited by Adam Formby (University of Lincoln), Mustapha Sheikh (University of Leeds), and Bob Jeffery (Sheffield Hallam University) as part of the (In)Justice International Collective, fully open access at https://doi.org/10.17645/si.i412

Abstract

This thematic issue offers an international perspective on precarious work and the social harms generated by such work. In the following introduction, we contextualise these trends in relation to entrenched neoliberal policy, rising contractual insecurity, the proliferation of borders, and other forms of institutional discrimination and inequality. We distinguish between formal contractual insecurity and the subjective experiences of precarity, interrogate the types of harms that accompany precarious work, and set out a social justice perspective for an engaged critique of precarious work. The collection is truly global in its scope, encompassing case studies from Bangladesh, China, Czechia, Ecuador, Finland, Italy, India, Jordan, Latvia, and Spain. These case studies draw out the diverse contexts for rising precarity, ranging from post-soviet, post-socialist, and neoliberal transitions to post-colonial and neocolonial contexts, examining how precarity is shaped by and interacts with divisions of ethnicity, migration status, gender, sexuality, and class. This thematic issue arises out of the work of the (In)Justice International Collective and is dedicated to the organization's founder, Dr. Simon Prideaux, who passed away in 2023.

Keywords

contractual insecurity; globalisation; multiplication of labour; neoliberalism; precarious work; precarity; social harms

³ Psychology, Sociology and Politics, Sheffield Hallam University, UK



1. Introduction

This thematic issue brings together a series of articles on the nature of "global precarity" in work and employment—a seemingly universal phenomenon manifested in a variety of forms and producing a diversity of consequences (Shin et al., 2023). Processes regarding the global commodification of labour have opened up a discussion about the nature and constitution of precarity for workers around the world (Shin et al., 2023), firstly, in terms of its framing—low-wages, insecure contracts, absence of training and progression, lack of status, and exposure to a range of work-based harms (Lloyd, 2021; Scott, 2018)—and secondly regarding the nature of the social harm associated with and caused by work-based precarity. How can we conceptualise the physical, psychological, economic, and cultural impacts (Canning & Tombs, 2021) of precarious work?

These explorations are even more pertinent in the context of accelerating global labour commodification and historically entrenched neoliberal employment policy. Recent years have seen a stripping away of legislative and regulatory protections, increasingly rendering populations as insecure, "precarious," and disposable (Standing, 2011). We see globally that this ranges from migrants and ethnic minorities—where varying citizenship statuses and structural racism may relegate them to the fringes of the labour market (Anderson, 2010)—to young people, who may also face ineligibility for support mechanisms and a lack of opportunities in increasingly fractured and fragmented transitions to work (Formby, 2023; MacDonald & Marsh, 2005). Moreover, a lack of appropriate social policy responses to contemporary global challenges including the financial crisis of 2008 onwards, Covid-19, and post-pandemic inflationary pressures exacerbated by the conflict in Ukraine, ongoing neo-colonialism, and climate change, have left increasing numbers of workers facing uncertain futures.

Yet, we acknowledge that there is nothing new about "precarity." Much of the framing of the so-called "standard employment contract" fails to acknowledge that the security that accompanied the shift to social democracy in the colonial and neocolonial societies of the Global North persisted only for a few short decades in the aftermath of the Second World War and was never available to workers in the Global South, or to certain categories of workers in the metropoles (Hardy, 2021; Munck, 2013). More specifically, women and migrants were largely excluded from such contractual provision and social security support. Nevertheless, the diffusion of neoliberal politics centred on deregulation, privatisation, and "responsibilisation" has, to a significant degree, unpicked the security that existed for some workers in the Global North while leading (in combination with neo-colonialism) to greater informalisation, hyper-exploitation, and outward migration in the Global South (Standing, 2011).

In part this is a consequence of neoliberal structural adjustment (Harvey, 2005), capital restructuring, deregulation of labour markets and employment contracts (Lloyd, 2019), and attacks on organised labour (Gallas, 2015). But it is also linked to a proliferation of borders as an aspect of contemporary globalisation. These borders serve as a method of social division and *multiplication* (Mezzadra & Neilson, 2013), carving up social and political space, while multiplying differences between categories of workers (citizen, guestworker, undocumented migrant), rendering them more vulnerable to exploitation and intensifying their rhythms and conditions of work. The consequent growth of global-work-based precarity has disproportionately exposed younger, ethnic minority, migrant, and working-class people to increasing insecurity, relegated to "gig work" or "bogus self-employment," zero-hour and fixed-term contracts, short-term agency work or "off



the books" employment within the informal or illegal economy (Formby, 2023; Shildrick et al., 2012; Wood, 2020).

There is also a need to consider that even where the trend towards increasing precarity is open to debate, in the strict sense of an increasing number of insecure and atypical contracts (Choonara, 2020), the wider gamut of neoliberal policies that are repressing wages, intensifying workloads, tearing up social security and fuelling attacks on trade unions are generating widespread *feelings* of precarity (Alberti et al., 2018, p. 449). This directs us towards an understanding of precariousness as lived experience, with precarity indicating the differential vulnerabilities and inequalities in social protection that groups of workers face (Millar, 2017).

In such a context, reflecting on the lived experience of precarity (linked to diverse and global labour markets), considering experiences of youth, ethnicity and migration status, gender and sexuality, disability, and class is integral. Such analyses are connected to questions of political economy, globalisation and "neoliberal statecraft" (Wacquant, 2009), the presence or absence of welfare systems that support people out of and into work (Jeffery et al., 2018), the class composition of workforces (Jeffery et al., in press), the freedom to operate and vitality (or otherwise) of labour movements that are capable of organising, supporting, and defending workers (Holgate, 2021), the role of technology in facilitating or inhibiting different forms of work (Delfanti, 2021), and the significance of culture and ideology in reproducing various workplace regimes.

Moreover, there is a need to recognise that the failure to address work-based "harms" is a matter of social injustice. As Pemberton (2016, p. 1) articulates, if social harms are "entirely preventable, a product of social relations that could be organised very differently to meet the needs of the many and not just the few"—the universal nature of work-based precarity (and associated harms that disproportionately impact underrepresented communities) raises the question of why and how employment and welfare regimes ignore and displace work-based harms.

2. The Thematic Issue

This collection of articles is deeply indebted to our friend and colleague Dr Simon Prideaux and his commitment to developing a global platform for raising and interrogating questions of social justice through the (In)Justice International network ((In)Justice International, 2023; Prideaux et al., 2023). Simon was a critical social scientist, whose interventions on disability policy (Prideaux et al., 2009), state crime (Monaghan & Prideaux, 2016), and political ideology (Prideaux, 2005) have had a significant impact across a range of academic disciplines. (In)Justice International, the organisation he helped create, has brought together social justice academics, activists, and practitioners from around the world to create a platform for social change, reflecting Simon's commitment as an academic activist seeking both utopian and practical interventions at global, national, and local scales. As a mentor, teacher, and researcher, Simon was inspired by his commitment to social justice—and his concomitant anger at forms of social injustice that undercut human dignity and fairness. He is deeply missed.

This thematic issue on the global disappearance of decent work explores themes of precarity and exploitation, labour conditions, globalization, policy and regulation, and agency and resistance. It also covers many of the topics that Simon advocated and fought for, including the rights of migrant workers, minoritised



ethnicities, the working class, lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender people, and all of those marginalised by the neoliberal assault.

The thematic issue is loosely organised into four sections: legal and political constructions of precarious work; subjective experiences of precarious work; ethnicity and migration in precarious work; and gender and sexuality in precarious work. However, we note that these are somewhat arbitrary distinctions, and most of the themes we have discussed above crosscut the entire collection (especially the way that those who are precaritised often belong to minoritised ethnicities and are internal and transnational migrants).

Firstly, Seikkula's (2024) article explores the issue of precarious labour in the Finnish wild berry industry, focusing on the socio-legal aspects that facilitate short-term migration, primarily from Thailand, for the berry picking season. Since the initial recruitment of Thai citizens in 2005 to pick forest berries for the Finnish industry, the sector has increasingly relied on migrant labour. However, these pickers operate in a regulatory grey area, as they are categorized outside of Finland's labour laws and are thus an example of how border regimes produce precarious labour (Anderson, 2010; Mezzadra & Neilson, 2013). The article examines how this situation—where berry picking occurs without labour rights—has been justified at a policy level.

Lukeš Rybanská and Čada (2024) continue the discussion of legal and political constructions of precarious work. They explore the portrayal of self-employment in public policy discussions in Czechia, focusing on how political figures define self-employment and the moral implications of these definitions. Utilising critical discourse analysis and examining transcripts of parliamentary debates from 2021 to 2023, the authors uncover how lawmakers attach economic and moral meanings to the self-employed, depicting them as alternatively vulnerable (Henley, 2023), entrepreneurial (Boltanski & Chiapello, 2018) or deviant. These significations inform divergent policies aimed at supporting, encouraging, or regulating self-employment.

The following two articles centre on the subjective experiences of self-employment. In the first, Ronde (2024) looks at the insecurity of labour conditions within the humanitarian sector in Jordan. Drawing on a year of fieldwork in Amman, the capital city, and interviews with 39 aid professionals, she examines the experiences of national and international workers facing precarious employment situations. Embracing perspectives from feminist and decolonial scholars, the study views labour's entanglement with broader life spheres and explores precarity through an emotional lens (Ahmed, 2004). The article argues that the structural nature of this work engenders precarious subjectivities, manifested in feelings of stagnation, exhaustion, and paralysis.

Ķešāne and Spuriņa (2024) also explore first-hand experiences of precariousness, in this case in relation to food delivery workers in Riga, Latvia—a nation that has undergone significant neoliberal restructuring since the dissolution of the Soviet Union. This article goes beyond the existing research—largely centred on Western Europe and America—that has detailed the temporary nature of gig work, its lack of legal safeguards, and the imposition of algorithmic management. Instead, their article aims to understand why individuals opt for gig work despite its precariousness. Drawing on the distinction between precarity as a condition and precariousness as a subjective experience (Millar, 2017), the study, based on 56 in-depth interviews, develops an innovative typology to account for the full gamut of gig worker experiences, ranging from lifestyle choice to trap.



The following three articles explore how issues of ethnicity and migration interact with precarious work. Wang and Meng (2024) investigate the evolving landscape of employment relations within China's platform economy, amidst a global rise in non-standard employment arrangements. Through interviews with platform company managers and food delivery workers, their research sheds light on the emergence and evolution of precarious employment in post-socialist China. More specifically they explore the various labour arrangements that are applied to the largely internal migrant workforce of food delivery couriers. This leads to the important finding that is also these arrangements—and not simply the presence of algorithmic management—that serves to intensify (or "multiply"; Mezzadra & Neilson, 2013) the platform couriers' work.

Kalarivayil et al. (2024) also focus on the fate of an internal migrant community, in this case, the so-called Tea tribes of Assam. This refers to the workers from the tribal communities of West Bengal, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, and Orissa who were originally brought to the tea gardens of Assam as indentured labourers during the colonial period. Despite the post-colonial context, the working conditions of the tea gardens have become more precarious in recent decades as neoliberal reforms have led to under-investment and the driving down of terms and conditions. Utilising a spatialised concept of precarity (Banki, 2013) and aiming to correct for the predominance of Eurocentric analyses of precarity (Munck, 2013), the authors explore issues of tied-housing, wage structure, surveillance, and discrimination to elucidate the contemporary drivers of precarity in the tea gardens.

Célleri's (2024) study contributes to debates around differential inclusion in South-South Migration, and access to labour and social protection through the case study of young Venezuelan migrants and refugees in Ecuador. Despite initially adopting progressive policies in relation to this migrant group, a more restrictive approach has been in effect since 2019, which has created a complex dynamic of differential inclusion (Corrigan, 2014; Mezzadra & Neilson, 2013). Yet rather than understanding the young migrants and refugees as passive victims, the author draws upon the examples of four participants (taken from a larger ethnographic study) to explore their resilience strategies of accessing social provisions whilst coping with informality and irregular status, and the intensification of labour.

The fourth section explores precarity in relation to gender and sexuality. Firstly, Shewly et al. (2024) explore the experiences of precarity among female internal migrant workers in Bangladesh's ready-made garments (RMG) industry. In recent decades, the expansion of the RMG sector has drawn economically disadvantaged rural women away from traditional domestic and agricultural roles. These women, predominantly young and perceived as flexible labourers, are employed on low wages with limited union representation. Their status as "unskilled" workers within a gender-stratified labour market, compounded by socio-cultural power dynamics, constrains their ability to advocate for improved conditions effectively. Through in-depth ethnographic research in Dhaka and Gazipur, the article elucidates the complex interactions between global supply chains, insecure labour conditions, and gender norms (Bhaiya & Wieringa, 2007). It highlights the significant role of socio-cultural power dynamics in shaping the vulnerability experienced by female migrant workers.

Finally, Tomaselli (2024) investigates entangled intersectional experiences of precarity, including but also moving beyond the "big three" axes of inequality—gender, ethnicity, and race (Davis & Zarkov, 2017). More specifically, the article focuses on the challenges faced by women and LGBTQIA+ individuals who are part of ethnic minorities or migrant communities in accessing decent labour conditions. The article highlights how various social factors like age, class, and ethnicity, combine with discrimination and gender-based violence to



produce structural inequality and exploitation in the workplace. Using South Tyrol in Italy and Catalonia in Spain as case studies, both areas characterized by low unemployment rates and high migration, Tomaselli examines the intersectional dynamics at play.

3. Conclusion

Overall, this thematic issue adds to the emergent literature that locates and challenges global manifestations of work-based harms. Our contributions articulate and demonstrate both the extent and depth to which work-based harms impact underrepresented communities, their complex fluidity, and provide insight into welfare and policy responses (even in the context of the evident failures of social policy in most of these cases). We hope this collection helps frame novel and multifaceted understandings of social (in)justice and in-work precarity. Most of all, we hope those understandings provide stimulus to all those seeking to tackle work-based harm and who are fighting injustice and challenging precarity.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

Ahmed, S. (2004). Affective economies. Social Text, 22(2), 117-139.

Alberti, G., Bessa, I., Hardy, K., Trappmann, V., & Umney, C. (2018). In, against and beyond precarity: Work in insecure times. *Work, Employment and Society*, 32(3), 447–457.

Anderson, B. (2010). Migration, immigration controls and the fashioning of precarious workers. *Work, Employment and Society*, 24(2), 300–317.

Banki, S. (2013). Precarity of place: A complement to the growing precariat literature. *Global Discourse*: An *Interdisciplinary Journal of Current Affairs and Applied Contemporary Thought*, 3(3/4), 450–463.

Bhaiya, A., & Wieringa, S. (2007). Manual on sexual rights and sexual empowerment. Jagori, Apik & Kartini.

Boltanski, L., & Chiapello, E. (2018). The new spirit of capitalism (2nd ed.). Verso.

Canning, V., & Tombs, S. (2021). From social harm to zemiology: A critical introduction. Routledge.

Célleri, D. (2024). Access to labour and "differential inclusion" for young Venezuelan migrants in Ecuador. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7762. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7762

Choonara, J. (2020). The precarious concept of precarity. Review of Radical Political Economics, 52(3), 427-446.

Corrigan, O. (2014). Migrant deprivation, conditionality of legal status and the welfare state. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 24(3), 223–239.

Davis, K., & Zarkov, D. (2017). EJWS retrospective on intersectionality. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 24(4), 313–448.

Delfanti, A. (2021). The warehouse: Workers and robots at Amazon. Pluto Press.

Formby, A. (2023). An injustice of youth: The social harm and marginalisation of young people in the UK. In S. Prideaux, M. Sheikh, & A. Formby (Eds.), *Crime, criminlisation and injustice* (pp. 173–184). Anthem Press.

Gallas, A. (2015). The Thatcherite offensive: A neo-Poulantzasian analysis. Brill.

Hardy, J. (2021). Nothing to lose but our chains. Pluto Press.

Harvey, D. (2005). A brief history of neoliberalism. Oxford University Press.

Henley, A. (2023). Is rising self-employment associated with material deprivation in the UK? Work, Employment and Society, 37(5), 1395–1418.

Holgate, J. (2021). Arise: Power, strategy and union resurgence. Pluto Press.



- Jeffery, B., Devine, D., & Thomas, P. (2018). "There's nothing": Unemployment, attitudes to work and punitive welfare reform in post-crash Salford. *Sociological Research Online*, 23(4), 795–811.
- Jeffery, B., Etherington, D., Ledger-Jessop, B., Jones, M., & Thomas, P. (in press). Exposure to harm as a function of bargaining position: The class composition of hospitality workers in Sheffield. *Capital & Class*.
- Kalarivayil, R., Balaka, C., & Sasidharan Nair, S. (2024). Precarity of place in the Global South: The case of tea garden workers in Assam. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7776. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7776
- Ķešāne, I., & Spuriņa, M. (2024). Sociological types of precarity among gig workers: Lived experiences of food delivery workers in Riga. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7696. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7696
- Lloyd, A. (2019). The harms of work: An ultra-realist account of the service economy. Bristol University Press.
- Lloyd, A. (2021). Work-based harm. In P. Davies, P. Leighton, & T. Wyatt (Eds.), The Palgrave handbook of social harm. Palgrave studies in victims and victimology (pp. 227–249). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-030-72408-5_10
- Lukeš Rybanská, I., & Čada, K. (2024). The power of the powerless: Constructions of self-employment in Czechia. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7820. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7820
- MacDonald, R., & Marsh, J. (2005). Disconnected youth: Growing up in Britain's poor neighbourhoods. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mezzadra, S., & Neilson, B. (2013). Border as method, or, the multiplication of labor. Duke University Press.
- Millar, K. M. (2017). Towards a critical politics of precarity. Sociology Compass, 11(6), 1-11.
- Monaghan, M., & Prideaux, S. (2016). *State crime and immorality: The corrupting influence of the powerful.* Policy Press.
- Munck, R. (2013). The precariat: A view from the South. Third World Quarterly, 34(5), 747-762.
- Pemberton, S. (2016). Harmful societies: Understanding social harm. Policy Press.
- Prideaux, S. (2005). Not so new labour: A sociological critique of New Labour's policy and practice. Policy Press.
- Prideaux, S., Roulstone, A., Harris, J., & Barnes, C. (2009). Disabled people and self-directed support schemes: Reconceptualising work and welfare in the 21st century. *Disability & Society*, 24(5), 557–569.
- Prideaux, S., Sheikh, M., & Formby, A. (2023). Crime, criminalisation and injustice. Anthem Press.
- Ronde, B. (2024). "The brains are frozen": Precarious subjectivities in the humanitarian aid sector in Jordan. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7658. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7658
- Scott, S. (2018). Labour exploitation and work-based harm. Policy Press.
- Seikkula, M. (2024). Socio-legal production of the tourist-seasonal labourer for the Finnish berry industry. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7845. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7845
- Shewly, H. J., Bal, E., & Laila, R. (2024). Hyper-precarious lives: Understanding migration, global supply chain, and gender dynamics in Bangladesh. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7784. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7784
- Shildrick, T. A., MacDonald, R., Webster, C., & Garthwaite, K. (2012). Poverty and insecurity: Life in low-pay, no-pay Britain. Policy Press.
- Shin, K.-Y., Kalleberg, A. L., & Hewison, K. (2023). Precarious work: A global perspective. *Sociology Compass*, 17(12), 1–14.
- Standing, G. (2011). The precariat: The new dangerous class. Academic.
- Tomaselli, A. (2024). An intersectional analysis of precarity and exploitation: Women and LGBTQIA+ workers in substate neoliberal systems. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7744. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7744
- Wacquant, L. (2009). Punishing the poor: The neoliberal government of social insecurity. Duke University Press.
- Wang, J., & Meng, Q. (2024). Unregulated flexibility and the multiplication of labour: Work in the Chinese platform economy. *Social Inclusion*, 12, Article 7719. https://doi.org/10.17645/si.7719
- Wood, A. (2020). Discipline on demand: How power operates in the flexible workplace. Cornell University Press.



About the Authors



Adam Formby is a social scientist whose work primarily focuses on inequalities and social policies in education, employment, and youth policy. He has published in journals such as *People, Place and Policy, Poverty and Social Justice* and the *Medical Law Review*. He is a member of the (In)Justice International Collective and co-editor of *Crime, Criminality and Injustice*: An *Interdisciplinary Collection of Revelations* (Anthem Press, 2023). His most recent research explores how young people in coastal communities approach higher education and work, and the importance of community-framed outreach in contemporary widening participation and education policy.



Mustapha Sheikh is an associate professor in Islamic thought and Muslim societies in the School of Languages, Cultures and Societies at the University of Leeds. He is co-director of the Iqbal Centre for Critical Muslim Studies, visiting professor in the Faculty of Islamic Studies, University of the Punjab (Pakistan), and member of (In)Justice International Collective. Mustapha's areas of expertise include Islamic law, Islamophobia, Ottoman history, Muslim intellectual history, and Islamic finance. He is co-editor of *Crime*, *Criminality and Injustice*: An Interdisciplinary Collection of Revelations (Anthem Press, 2023) and author of Ottoman Puritanism and Its Discontents (Oxford University Press, 2016).



Bob Jeffery is a sociologist whose work focuses on class inequalities, work and employment and policing and protest. He has published in journals such as *Sociology, Sociological Review, Political Studies, Criminal Justice Matters* and *Industrial Relations Journal*. He is a member of the (In)Justice International Collective. His current research explores the experiences of low paid and precarious work and prospects for union renewal in so-called "difficult-to-organise" industries. With colleagues, he has recently published a report for Zero Hours Justice exploring the link between precarious contracts and sexual harassment in the hospitality industry.