

Appendix A: Summary Statistics

Table A1. Sample Characteristics

The Netherlands	Germany	France
Sample (N = 898)	Sample (N = 804)	Sample (N = 1063)
%	%	%
Gender	Gender	Gender
Male 48.3	Male 48.4	Male 50.6
Female 51.7	Female 51.6	Female 49.4
Age	Age	Age
18-25 10.7	18-25 8.1	18-25 9.6
26-35 15.7	26-35 13.3	26-35 15.5
36-45 17.7	36-45 15.7	36-45 18
46-55 20.3	46-55 20.1	46-55 18.6
56-65 20.9	56-65 25.8	56-65 21
66 + 14.7	66 + 17	66 + 17.3
Education	Education	Education
Primary 13.7	Primary 16.8	Primary 5.3
Secondary 59.5	Secondary 56.1	Secondary 44.6
Tertiary 26.8	Tertiary 27.1	Tertiary 50.1
	Region	Region
	Berlin 3.7	North-East 22.6
	Bayern 14.7	North-West 21.4
	Niedersachsen 10.8	Paris 19.3
	Baden-Württemberg 12.7	South-East 25.5
	Rheinland-Pfalz 5.1	South-West 11.3
	Sachsen 5.2	
	Thüringen 2.9	
	Hessen 7.1	
	Nordrhein-Westfalen 21.5	
	Sachsen-Anhalt 3	
	Brandenburg 3	
	Mecklenburg-Vorpommern 2.2	
	Hamburg 2.2	
	Schleswig-Holstein 3.6	
	Saarland 1.6	
	Bremen 0.6	

Table A2. Candidate Selection and Samples' Party Vote Share

Netherlands	Candidate	Party	Vote share (%)
(N = 898)	Mark Rutte	Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en Democratie (VVD)	19.34
	Geert Wilders	Partij voor de Vrijheid (PVV)	14.16
	Sigrid Kaag	Democraten 66 (D66)	10.24
	Wopke Hoekstra	Christen-Democratisch Appèl (CDA)	7.33
	Lilianne Ploumen	Partij van de Arbeid (PvdA)	6.57
	Jesse Klaver	GroenLinks (GL)	4.93
	Germany	Candidate	Party
(N = 804)	Olaf Scholz	Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands (SPD)	29.46
	Armin Laschet	Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands (CDU)	16.40
	Annalena Baerbock	Grüne	15.24
	Alice Weidel	Alternative für Deutschland (AfD)	12.19
	Christian Lidner	Freie Demokratische Partei (FDP)	10.74
	Janine Wissler	Die Linke	9.43
	France	Candidate	Party
(N = 1063)	Emmanuel Macron	La République En Marche (REM)	30.29
	Marine Le Pen	Rassemblement National (RN)	23.16
	Jean-Luc Mélenchon	La France insoumise (LFI)	20.58
	Éric Zemmour	Reconquête (R!)	7.25
	Valérie Pécresse	Soyons libres (LR)	6.32
	Yannick Jadot	Europe Écologie Les Verts (EELV)	4.33
	Anne Hidalgo	Parti Socialiste (PS)	1.87
	Philippe Poutou	Nouveau Parti Anticapitaliste (NPA)	0.70

Table A3. Summary Statistics of Candidate Sympathy

	The Netherlands				Germany				France		
	N	M	SD		N	M	SD		N	M	SD
<i>Rutte</i>	830	5.45	3.04	<i>Scholz</i>	784	5.77	2.98	<i>Poutou</i>	943	4.35	3.02
<i>Ploumen</i>	711	5.22	2.4	<i>Lindner</i>	778	4.79	2.71	<i>Jadot</i>	949	4.32	2.67
<i>Hoekstra</i>	752	5.10	2.32	<i>Baerbock</i>	784	4.37	3.16	<i>Macron</i>	1008	4.26	3.22
<i>Kaag</i>	766	4.90	2.77	<i>Wissler</i>	627	3.81	2.74	<i>Le Pen</i>	1012	4.22	3.47
<i>Klaver</i>	805	4.56	2.69	<i>Laschet</i>	789	3.05	2.6	<i>Mélenchon</i>	1002	3.62	3.22
<i>Wilders</i>	831	3.66	3.07	<i>Weidel</i>	760	2.69	3.22	<i>Hidalgo</i>	982	3.44	2.73
								<i>Pécresse</i>	994	3.21	2.64
								<i>Zemmour</i>	1011	2.50	3.15

Table A4. Indicators of Candidate Incivility Perceptions

Incivility items	Wording
Derogatory language	Using insulting or derogatory language, for example name-calling or engaging in character-assassination, to express contempt for political opponents
Negative Emotions	Displaying negative emotions towards political opponents, for example by shouting or being verbally aggressive
Ridicule	Being sarcastic, mocking, or ridiculing political opponents

Table A5. Summary Statistics of Candidate Incivility Perceptions by Incivility Item

	Netherlands				Germany				France		
	N	M	SD		N	M	SD		N	M	SD
Wilders				Weidel				Zemmour			
<i>Insult</i>	749	6.4	3.08	<i>Insult</i>	691	5.33	3.53	<i>Insult</i>	1008	6.17	3.52
<i>NegEm</i>	738	5.89	3.29	<i>NegEm</i>	681	5.02	3.47	<i>NegEm</i>	988	6.27	3.34
<i>Sarcasm</i>	728	6.22	3.12	<i>Sarcasm</i>	678	5.3	3.41	<i>Sarcasm</i>	979	6.58	3.19
Rutte				Laschet				Le Pen			
<i>Insult</i>	735	2.46	2.83	<i>Insult</i>	728	4.01	3.1	<i>Insult</i>	999	5.2	3.41
<i>NegEm</i>	722	1.95	2.55	<i>NegEm</i>	720	3.86	3.1	<i>NegEm</i>	983	5.34	3.26
<i>Sarcasm</i>	718	2.7	3.02	<i>Sarcasm</i>	712	4.08	3.15	<i>Sarcasm</i>	973	5.81	3.18
Hoekstra				Lindner				Macron			
<i>Insult</i>	676	1.99	2.35	<i>Insult</i>	706	3.15	2.87	<i>Insult</i>	1005	4.45	3.38
<i>NegEm</i>	675	1.75	2.29	<i>NegEm</i>	686	3.04	2.82	<i>NegEm</i>	981	4.43	3.33
<i>Sarcasm</i>	663	1.96	2.44	<i>Sarcasm</i>	691	3.11	2.82	<i>Sarcasm</i>	974	4.97	3.37
Kaag				Scholz				Pécresse			
<i>Insult</i>	691	2.4	2.7	<i>Insult</i>	732	2.78	3.02	<i>Insult</i>	975	3.84	3.02
<i>NegEm</i>	684	2.13	2.57	<i>NegEm</i>	712	2.45	2.76	<i>NegEm</i>	960	4.23	3.02
<i>Sarcasm</i>	676	2.34	2.71	<i>Sarcasm</i>	709	2.65	2.88	<i>Sarcasm</i>	942	4.58	3.04
Ploumen				Baerbock				Hidalgo			
<i>Insult</i>	657	1.82	2.34	<i>Insult</i>	728	3.06	3.03	<i>Insult</i>	952	3.4	2.96
<i>NegEm</i>	660	1.64	2.26	<i>NegEm</i>	716	3.02	2.95	<i>NegEm</i>	939	3.71	2.91
<i>Sarcasm</i>	646	1.69	2.3	<i>Sarcasm</i>	708	3.09	2.97	<i>Sarcasm</i>	920	3.92	3.03
Klaver				Wissler				Jadot			
<i>Insult</i>	693	2.82	2.78	<i>Insult</i>	593	3.29	2.95	<i>Insult</i>	935	3.35	2.98
<i>NegEm</i>	695	2.45	2.66	<i>NegEm</i>	583	3.25	2.94	<i>NegEm</i>	924	3.6	2.92
<i>Sarcasm</i>	689	2.76	2.77	<i>Sarcasm</i>	598	3.32	2.95	<i>Sarcasm</i>	905	3.84	3.02
								Mélenchon			
								<i>Insult</i>	995	5.58	3.4
								<i>NegEm</i>	979	6	3.3
								<i>Sarcasm</i>	965	6.19	3.23
								Poutou			
								<i>Insult</i>	935	3.77	3.27
								<i>NegEm</i>	922	4.18	3.29
								<i>Sarcasm</i>	901	4.34	3.26

Table A6. Summary Statistics of Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions

Netherlands				
	α	N	M	SD
<i>Wilders</i>	0.87	779	6.13	2.83
<i>Klaver</i>	0.88	747	2.65	2.45
<i>Rutte</i>	0.89	767	2.39	2.58
<i>Kaag</i>	0.87	731	2.28	2.40
<i>Hoekstra</i>	0.88	720	1.89	2.13
<i>Ploumen</i>	0.87	702	1.71	2.08
Germany				
<i>Weidel</i>	0.87	726	5.16	3.18
<i>Laschet</i>	0.88	749	3.97	2.76
<i>Wissler</i>	0.89	640	3.25	2.69
<i>Lindner</i>	0.87	734	3.09	2.53
<i>Baerbock</i>	0.88	744	3.06	2.69
<i>Scholz</i>	0.87	745	2.63	2.56
France				
<i>Zémmour</i>	0.88	1019	6.29	3.04
<i>Mélenchon</i>	0.89	1011	5.89	3.00
<i>Le Pen</i>	0.85	1014	5.39	2.92
<i>Macron</i>	0.86	1018	4.61	2.99
<i>Pécresse</i>	0.85	996	4.18	2.69
<i>Poutou</i>	0.87	968	4.04	2.93
<i>Hidalgo</i>	0.86	983	3.64	2.66
<i>Jadot</i>	0.87	971	3.56	2.68

Table A7. Summary Statistics of Comparative Candidate Incivility Perceptions

	The Netherlands			Germany			France				
	N	M	SD	N	M	SD	N	M	SD		
<i>Wilders</i>	766	6.96	1.65	<i>Weidel</i>	724	5.98	1.67	<i>Zemmour</i>	1016	5.89	1.43
<i>Klaver</i>	747	4.86	0.82	<i>Laschet</i>	747	5.26	1.10	<i>Mélenchon</i>	1011	5.53	1.10
<i>Rutte</i>	761	4.66	1.00	<i>Wissler</i>	640	4.83	0.93	<i>Le Pen</i>	1014	5.38	1.47
<i>Kaag</i>	730	4.64	0.75	<i>Lindner</i>	734	4.74	0.81	<i>Macron</i>	1016	4.92	1.49
<i>Hoekstra</i>	720	4.43	0.63	<i>Baerbock</i>	744	4.73	1.04	<i>Pécresse</i>	996	4.70	0.97
<i>Ploumen</i>	702	4.34	0.64	<i>Scholz</i>	743	4.46	1.04	<i>Poutou</i>	968	4.63	1.04
								<i>Hidalgo</i>	983	4.39	0.90
								<i>Jadot</i>	971	4.35	0.88

Table A8. Summary Statistics of Party Sympathy

The Netherlands				Germany				France			
	N	M	SD		N	M	SD		N	M	SD
<i>VVD</i>	827	5.02	2.85	<i>SDP</i>	788	5.3	2.87	<i>EELV</i>	950	4.35	2.77
<i>D66</i>	800	4.72	2.67	<i>Grüne</i>	788	4.6	3.18	<i>REM</i>	947	4.2	3.04
<i>CDA</i>	803	4.65	2.34	<i>FDP</i>	787	4.52	2.74	<i>RN</i>	967	3.93	3.42
<i>PvdA</i>	803	4.6	2.5	<i>CDU/CSU</i>	790	4.07	2.9	<i>LR</i>	924	3.84	2.54
<i>GL</i>	810	4.34	2.71	<i>Linke</i>	789	3.72	3.14	<i>PS</i>	926	3.84	2.67
<i>PVV</i>	829	3.5	3.13	<i>AfD</i>	788	2.4	3.38	<i>LFI</i>	951	3.56	3.12
								<i>R!</i>	878	3.3	3.04
								<i>NPA</i>	848	3.28	2.8

Table A9. Summary Statistics of Perceptions of Candidates Traits

The Netherlands (N = 977)			Germany (N = 900)			France (N = 1122)		
Competence			Competence			Competence		
	M	SD		M	SD		M	SD
<i>Rutte</i>	3.61	1.4	<i>Scholz</i>	3.57	1.20	<i>Macron</i>	3.13	1.38
<i>Kaag</i>	3.42	1.15	<i>Lindner</i>	3.38	1.09	<i>Le Pen</i>	2.93	1.41
<i>Hoekstra</i>	3.37	0.95	<i>Wissler</i>	2.83	1.02	<i>Mélenchon</i>	2.84	1.33
<i>Ploumen</i>	3.17	0.93	<i>Baerbock</i>	2.82	1.32	<i>Jadot</i>	2.73	1.05
<i>Wilders</i>	2.94	1.30	<i>Weidel</i>	2.45	1.38	<i>Pécresse</i>	2.43	1.18
<i>Klaver</i>	2.92	1.11	<i>Laschet</i>	2.43	1.19	<i>Poutou</i>	2.42	1.10
						<i>Hidalgo</i>	2.32	1.11
						<i>Zemmour</i>	2.24	1.32
Empathy			Empathy			Empathy		
	M	SD		M	SD		M	SD
<i>Ploumen</i>	3.29	0.98	<i>Scholz</i>	3.29	1.22	<i>Le Pen</i>	2.83	1.41
<i>Hoekstra</i>	3.05	0.99	<i>Baerbock</i>	2.96	1.32	<i>Jadot</i>	2.76	1.08
<i>Kaag</i>	3.02	1.15	<i>Lindner</i>	2.87	1.15	<i>Poutou</i>	2.74	1.22
<i>Rutte</i>	2.97	1.38	<i>Wissler</i>	2.82	1.04	<i>Mélenchon</i>	2.71	1.33
<i>Klaver</i>	2.90	1.15	<i>Laschet</i>	2.38	1.18	<i>Macron</i>	2.54	1.31
<i>Wilders</i>	2.67	1.37	<i>Weidel</i>	2.22	1.31	<i>Hidalgo</i>	2.42	1.15
						<i>Pécresse</i>	2.33	1.15
						<i>Zemmour</i>	2.19	1.34
Leadership			Leadership			Leadership		
	M	SD		M	SD		M	SD
<i>Rutte</i>	3.69	1.40	<i>Scholz</i>	3.61	1.17	<i>Mélenchon</i>	3.55	1.35
<i>Kaag</i>	3.52	1.14	<i>Lindner</i>	3.50	1.09	<i>Le Pen</i>	3.48	1.34
<i>Wilders</i>	3.40	1.40	<i>Baerbock</i>	2.86	1.29	<i>Macron</i>	3.40	1.37
<i>Hoekstra</i>	3.16	1.02	<i>Weidel</i>	2.81	1.42	<i>Zemmour</i>	2.93	1.49
<i>Ploumen</i>	2.90	1.00	<i>Wissler</i>	2.80	1.01	<i>Jadot</i>	2.71	1.08
<i>Klaver</i>	2.70	1.11	<i>Laschet</i>	2.40	1.20	<i>Poutou</i>	2.64	1.15
						<i>Pécresse</i>	2.35	1.17
						<i>Hidalgo</i>	2.35	1.14
Honesty			Honesty			Honesty		
	M	SD		M	SD		M	SD
<i>Ploumen</i>	3.32	0.93	<i>Scholz</i>	3.22	1.24	<i>Jadot</i>	3.00	1.08
<i>Hoekstra</i>	3.20	0.95	<i>Lindner</i>	3.06	1.11	<i>Poutou</i>	3.00	1.17
<i>Kaag</i>	3.10	1.10	<i>Wissler</i>	2.91	1.01	<i>Le Pen</i>	2.81	1.34
<i>Wilders</i>	3.00	1.34	<i>Baerbock</i>	2.83	1.32	<i>Macron</i>	2.77	1.35
<i>Klaver</i>	2.92	1.07	<i>Laschet</i>	2.54	1.23	<i>Mélenchon</i>	2.73	1.29
<i>Rutte</i>	2.81	1.38	<i>Weidel</i>	2.33	1.35	<i>Hidalgo</i>	2.61	1.15
						<i>Pécresse</i>	2.59	1.18
						<i>Zemmour</i>	2.32	1.33

Table A10. List of Candidates divided by Gender, Populism, and Incumbency Status

Female (n = 8)	Sigrid Kaag, Lilianne Ploumen, Annalena Baerbock, Alice Weidel, Janine Wissler, Marine Le Pen, Valérie Pécresse, Anne Hidalgo
Male (n = 12)	Mark Rutte, Geert Wilders, Wopke Hoekstra, Jesse Klaver, Olaf Scholz, Armin Laschet, Christian Lidner, Emmanuel Macron, Jean-Luc Mélenchon, Éric Zemmour, Yannick Jadot, Philippe Poutou
Populist (n = 7)	Geert Wilders, Alice Weidel, Janine Wissler, Marine Le Pen, Jean-Luc Mélenchon, Éric Zemmour, Philippe Poutou
Non-Populist (n = 13)	Mark Rutte, Wopke Hoekstra, Sigrid Kaag, Lilianne Ploumen, Jesse Klaver, Olaf Scholz, Armin Laschet, Annalena Baerbock, Christian Lidner, Emmanuel Macron, Valérie Pécresse, Anne Hidalgo, Yannick Jadot
Incumbents (n = 5)	Mark Rutte, Wopke Hoekstra, Sigrid Kaag, Olaf Scholz, Emmanuel Macron
Challengers (n = 15)	Geert Wilders, Lilianne Ploumen, Jesse Klaver, Armin Laschet, Christian Lidner, Annalena Baerbock, Alice Weidel, Janine Wissler, Marine Le Pen, Jean-Luc Mélenchon, Éric Zemmour, Valérie Pécresse, Anne Hidalgo, Yannick Jadot, Philippe Poutou

Appendix B: Numerical Results from OLS Multiple Regression Models

Table B1. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1), Comparative Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M2), and their Interaction with Party Sympathy (M1.INT, M2.INT)

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Netherlands				Germany				France			
	M1	M2	M1.INT	M1.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.11***(0.01)	-0.28***(0.02)	-0.14***(0.02)	-0.30***(0.03)	0.00 (0.01)	-0.12***(0.02)	0.03 (0.02)	-0.03 (0.03)	-0.04***(0.01)	-0.23***(0.02)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.18***(0.04)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.46***(0.01)	0.45***(0.01)	0.44***(0.02)	0.42***(0.04)	0.36***(0.01)	0.35***(0.01)	0.39***(0.02)	0.49***(0.03)	0.44***(0.01)	0.42***(0.01)	0.48***(0.02)	0.48***(0.03)
<i>Interaction</i>			0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.03***(0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.01 (0.01)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.27***(0.03)	0.28***(0.03)	0.27***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.31***(0.04)	0.29***(0.04)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.26***(0.03)	0.25***(0.03)	0.26***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.39***(0.03)	0.37***(0.03)	0.39***(0.04)	0.37***(0.04)	0.53***(0.04)	0.52***(0.04)	0.54***(0.05)	0.52***(0.05)	0.43***(0.03)	0.41***(0.03)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.16***(0.02)	0.18***(0.02)	0.17***(0.03)	0.18***(0.03)	0.20***(0.03)	0.21***(0.03)	0.20***(0.04)	0.21***(0.04)	0.03 (0.02)	0.06**(0.02)	0.02 (0.03)	0.06*(0.02)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.23***(0.03)	0.25***(0.03)	0.23***(0.03)	0.25***(0.03)	0.31***(0.04)	0.29***(0.04)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.43***(0.03)	0.40***(0.03)	0.43***(0.04)	0.40***(0.04)
<i>Female</i>	0.09 (0.10)	0.11 (0.10)	0.10 (0.11)	0.11 (0.11)	-0.05 (0.12)	-0.04 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	0.13 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)	0.12 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)
<i>Age</i>	-0.03 (0.10)	-0.12 (0.10)	-0.03 (0.11)	-0.12 (0.10)	0.17 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.17 (0.09)	0.14 (0.09)	0.07 (0.05)	0.06 (0.05)	0.08 (0.06)	0.07 (0.06)
<i>Education</i>	0.09 (0.08)	0.04 (0.08)	0.08 (0.07)	0.04 (0.07)	0.13 (0.10)	0.13 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.15 (0.10)	0.24***(0.06)	0.23***(0.06)	0.23**(0.07)	0.23**(0.07)
<i>Constant</i>	-0.93***(0.24)	0.73*(0.33)	-0.82**(0.27)	0.83*(0.39)	-2.29***(0.13)	-1.39***(0.21)	-2.45***(0.15)	-1.94***(0.26)	-2.39***(0.15)	-1.15***(0.19)	-2.56***(0.17)	-1.42***(0.28)
Observations	4183	4168	4183	4168	4226	4220	4226	4220	7148	7146	7148	7146
R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66
Adjusted R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66
F Statistic	1342.89*** (df = 9;4173)	1338.46*** (df = 9;4158)	1401.18*** (df = 10;761)	1353.75*** (df = 10;746)	1132.93*** (df = 9;4216)	1140.14*** (df = 9;4210)	1105.95*** (df = 10;748)	1142.83*** (df = 10;742)	1483.72*** (df = 9;7138)	1140.141*** (df = 9;4210)	1221.89*** (df = 10;963)	1315.74*** (df = 10;961)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table B2. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1) and Comparative Incivility Perceptions (M2) in the Netherlands by Candidate

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Wilders		Rutte		Hoekstra		Kaag		Ploumen		Klaver	
	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.12***(0.02)	-0.21***(0.04)	-0.17***(0.02)	-0.43***(0.06)	-0.03 (0.03)	-0.19*(0.09)	-0.09***(0.02)	-0.42***(0.08)	-0.12***(0.03)	-0.22*(0.10)	-0.06*(0.02)	-0.36***(0.07)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.51***(0.03)	0.50***(0.03)	0.66***(0.02)	0.64***(0.03)	0.31***(0.03)	0.30***(0.03)	0.39***(0.03)	0.37***(0.03)	0.30***(0.03)	0.31***(0.03)	0.45***(0.03)	0.44***(0.03)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.27***(0.06)	0.27***(0.06)	0.27***(0.06)	0.28***(0.06)	0.33***(0.09)	0.32***(0.09)	0.26***(0.08)	0.25***(0.08)	0.13 (0.10)	0.13 (0.10)	0.18*(0.08)	0.17*(0.08)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.39***(0.06)	0.38***(0.06)	0.14*(0.06)	0.12 (0.06)	0.58***(0.09)	0.57***(0.09)	0.51***(0.08)	0.48***(0.08)	0.38***(0.09)	0.38***(0.09)	0.43***(0.08)	0.41***(0.08)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.07 (0.04)	0.06 (0.04)	0.13*(0.06)	0.14*(0.06)	0.14 (0.07)	0.15 (0.07)	0.20***(0.07)	0.18*(0.07)	0.46***(0.08)	0.46***(0.08)	0.14 (0.07)	0.15*(0.07)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.22***(0.05)	0.24***(0.05)	0.11*(0.06)	0.13*(0.06)	0.32***(0.09)	0.32***(0.09)	0.32***(0.08)	0.34***(0.08)	0.33***(0.10)	0.36***(0.10)	0.41***(0.08)	0.37***(0.08)
<i>Female</i>	-0.18 (0.10)	-0.13 (0.10)	0.01 (0.11)	0.08 (0.11)	0.09 (0.11)	0.10 (0.11)	0.07 (0.10)	0.11 (0.10)	0.01 (0.12)	0.07 (0.12)	-0.02 (0.11)	0.02 (0.11)
<i>Age</i>	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Education</i>	-0.09 (0.08)	-0.08 (0.08)	0.05 (0.09)	0.06 (0.09)	0.03 (0.09)	0.03 (0.09)	-0.13 (0.09)	-0.14 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.10)	-0.10 (0.10)	-0.12 (0.09)	-0.08 (0.09)
<i>Constant</i>	0.19 (0.35)	0.88*(0.42)	0.47 (0.36)	1.96****(0.53)	-1.05***(0.38)	-0.23 (0.59)	-0.48 (0.35)	1.36*(0.56)	0.21 (0.39)	0.80 (0.60)	-0.48 (0.37)	1.20*(0.52)
Observations	745	736	742	736	669	669	679	679	634	634	714	714
R ²	0.83	0.83	0.78	0.77	0.62	0.62	0.77	0.78	0.63	0.63	0.73	0.74
Adjusted R ²	0.82	0.82	0.77	0.77	0.62	0.62	0.77	0.78	0.63	0.62	0.73	0.73
F Statistic	388.49*** (df = 9;735)	380.83*** (df = 9;726)	282.82*** (df = 9;732)	269.92*** (df = 9;726)	120.41*** (df = 9;659)	121.29*** (df = 9;659)	255.97*** (df = 9;669)	262.58*** (df = 9;669)	120.08*** (df = 9;624)	116.74*** (df = 9;624)	209.89*** (df = 9;704)	217.97*** (df = 9;704)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table B3. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1) and Comparative Incivility Perceptions (M2) in Germany by Candidate

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Weidel		Laschet		Lidner		Scholz		Baerbock		Wissler	
	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.18***(0.05)	-0.00 (0.02)	-0.23***(0.06)	0.01 (0.02)	-0.10 (0.08)	0.02 (0.02)	-0.09 (0.06)	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.25***(0.07)	0.02 (0.03)	-0.10 (0.09)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.37***(0.03)	0.36***(0.03)	0.27***(0.03)	0.25***(0.03)	0.50***(0.03)	0.50***(0.03)	0.42***(0.03)	0.42***(0.03)	0.32***(0.03)	0.31***(0.03)	0.35***(0.03)	0.34***(0.03)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.17 (0.11)	0.13 (0.11)	0.31**(0.10)	0.28**(0.10)	0.21*(0.09)	0.21*(0.09)	0.29**(0.10)	0.27**(0.10)	0.29**(0.10)	0.31**(0.10)	0.38**(0.13)	0.37**(0.13)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.73***(0.11)	0.69***(0.11)	0.39***(0.09)	0.38***(0.09)	0.50***(0.08)	0.50***(0.08)	0.41***(0.08)	0.40***(0.08)	0.70***(0.09)	0.66***(0.09)	0.48***(0.12)	0.46***(0.12)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	-0.03 (0.07)	-0.01 (0.07)	0.30***(0.08)	0.33***(0.08)	0.22**(0.08)	0.21*(0.08)	0.20*(0.08)	0.19*(0.08)	0.28***(0.08)	0.27**(0.08)	0.24*(0.11)	0.26*(0.11)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.43***(0.10)	0.41***(0.10)	0.34***(0.09)	0.31***(0.08)	0.21*(0.08)	0.20*(0.08)	0.49***(0.08)	0.50***(0.08)	0.21*(0.09)	0.20*(0.09)	0.19 (0.12)	0.17 (0.12)
<i>Female</i>	-0.22 (0.13)	-0.21 (0.13)	-0.09 (0.13)	-0.08 (0.13)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.23 (0.12)	-0.23 (0.12)	-0.09 (0.16)	-0.10 (0.16)
<i>Age</i>	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01**(0.00)	0.01**(0.00)	0.01*(0.00)	0.01*(0.00)	0.01*(0.01)	0.01*(0.01)
<i>Education</i>	-0.09 (0.10)	-0.07 (0.10)	-0.08 (0.10)	-0.09 (0.09)	-0.09 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.09)	-0.09 (0.09)	-0.11 (0.09)	0.09 (0.09)	0.10 (0.09)	-0.15 (0.11)	-0.16 (0.11)
<i>Constant</i>	-0.76 (0.42)	0.27 (0.51)	-1.13**(0.38)	0.28 (0.52)	-1.10**(0.38)	-0.46 (0.56)	-1.66***(0.37)	-1.00*(0.48)	-1.95***(0.38)	-0.60 (0.52)	-1.41**(0.49)	-0.71 (0.69)
Observations	709	707	743	741	722	722	736	734	734	734	582	582
R ²	0.71	0.72	0.58	0.59	0.69	0.69	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.74	0.56	0.56
Adjusted R ²	0.71	0.72	0.58	0.59	0.68	0.68	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.55	0.55
F Statistic	194.35*** (df = 9;699)	197.98*** (df = 9;697)	113.54*** (df = 9;733)	117.6*** (df = 9;731)	172.82*** (df = 9;712)	173.43*** (df = 9;712)	228.79*** (df = 9;726)	228.51*** (df = 9;724)	220.39*** (df = 9;724)	226*** (df = 9;724)	80.12*** (df = 9;572)	80.3*** (df = 9;572)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table B4. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1) and Comparative Incivility Perceptions (M2) in France by Candidate

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy															
	Zemmour		Le Pen		Macron		Pécresse		Hidalgo		Jadot		Mélénchon		Poutou	
	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.25*** (0.05)	-0.04* (0.02)	-0.08 (0.04)	-0.06** (0.02)	-0.17*** (0.04)	-0.05 (0.02)	-0.41*** (0.07)	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.41*** (0.07)	0.04 (0.02)	-0.20** (0.07)	-0.05* (0.02)	-0.25*** (0.06)	-0.05 (0.03)	-0.39*** (0.08)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.36*** (0.02)	0.34*** (0.02)	0.52*** (0.03)	0.52*** (0.03)	0.50*** (0.03)	0.49*** (0.03)	0.38*** (0.03)	0.36*** (0.03)	0.42*** (0.03)	0.40*** (0.03)	0.43*** (0.03)	0.41*** (0.03)	0.55*** (0.03)	0.53*** (0.03)	0.39*** (0.03)	0.38*** (0.03)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.33** (0.10)	0.31** (0.10)	0.24** (0.09)	0.25** (0.08)	0.35*** (0.08)	0.33*** (0.08)	0.32** (0.09)	0.29** (0.09)	0.26* (0.11)	0.28* (0.11)	0.40*** (0.10)	0.37*** (0.10)	0.34*** (0.08)	0.32*** (0.08)	0.08 (0.11)	0.02 (0.11)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.70*** (0.09)	0.64*** (0.09)	0.41*** (0.08)	0.41*** (0.08)	0.36*** (0.08)	0.37*** (0.08)	0.41*** (0.09)	0.36*** (0.09)	0.42*** (0.10)	0.37*** (0.10)	0.29** (0.09)	0.29** (0.09)	0.30*** (0.08)	0.30*** (0.08)	0.38** (0.11)	0.36** (0.11)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.03 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	0.06 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)	0.12* (0.06)	0.12* (0.06)	0.01 (0.07)	0.05 (0.07)	-0.01 (0.08)	0.04 (0.08)	0.05 (0.08)	0.08 (0.08)	0.01 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)	0.00 (0.09)	0.03 (0.09)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.37*** (0.09)	0.33*** (0.09)	0.29** (0.08)	0.29** (0.08)	0.27** (0.08)	0.27** (0.08)	0.34*** (0.09)	0.30** (0.09)	0.39*** (0.08)	0.33*** (0.08)	0.34*** (0.08)	0.31*** (0.08)	0.27*** (0.08)	0.27** (0.08)	0.74*** (0.09)	0.70*** (0.09)
<i>Female</i>	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.03 (0.12)	-0.03 (0.12)	-0.02 (0.12)	0.01 (0.11)	0.05 (0.11)	-0.28* (0.13)	-0.21 (0.13)	0.07 (0.13)	0.09 (0.13)	0.22 (0.13)	0.17 (0.12)	0.06 (0.11)	0.06 (0.11)	0.33* (0.16)	0.26 (0.16)
<i>Age</i>	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.01** (0.00)	0.01*(0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.01 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Education</i>	0.07 (0.11)	0.09 (0.10)	-0.29** (0.10)	-0.30** (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.11 (0.10)	0.02 (0.11)	0.00 (0.11)	0.21 (0.11)	0.18 (0.11)	0.25* (0.11)	0.24* (0.11)	0.13 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.22 (0.13)	0.18 (0.13)
<i>Constant</i>	-1.71*** (0.40)	-0.21 (0.50)	0.41 (0.41)	0.68 (0.49)	-1.56*** (0.38)	-0.76 (0.47)	-0.41 (0.41)	1.74** (0.56)	-1.37** (0.41)	0.64 (0.55)	-1.72*** (0.41)	-0.55 (0.55)	-0.90* (0.38)	0.28 (0.49)	-1.02* (0.50)	0.99 (0.66)
Observations	868	866	946	946	928	928	897	897	885	885	886	886	932	932	806	806
R ²	0.71	0.72	0.75	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.51	0.52	0.54	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.73	0.73	0.52	0.54
Adjusted R ²	0.7	0.71	0.75	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.5	0.52	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.73	0.73	0.52	0.53
F Statistic	231.07*** (df = 9;858)	239.21*** (df = 9;856)	310.54*** (df = 9;936)	310.3*** (df = 9;936)	274.49*** (df = 9;918)	277.38*** (df = 9;918)	100.76*** (df = 9;887)	107.32*** (df = 9;887)	113.92*** (df = 9;875)	120.97*** (df = 9;875)	119.54*** (df = 9;876)	120.69*** (df = 9;876)	276.71*** (df = 9;922)	281.9*** (df = 9;922)	97.06*** (df = 9;796)	101.77*** (df = 9;796)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table B5. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1.INT) and Comparative Incivility Perceptions (M2.INT) and their Interaction with Party Sympathy in the Netherlands by Candidate

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Wilders		Rutte		Hoekstra		Kaag		Ploumen		Klaver	
	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.12**(0.04)	-0.26***(0.07)	-0.19***(0.05)	-0.38**(0.12)	0.02 (0.06)	-0.03 (0.20)	-0.13*(0.05)	-0.67***(0.17)	-0.18*(0.07)	0.20 (0.22)	-0.02 (0.05)	-0.16 (0.16)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	-0.51***(0.04)	-0.55***(0.07)	-0.67***(0.03)	-0.61***(0.08)	-0.29***(0.04)	-0.18 (0.15)	-0.40***(0.03)	-0.55***(0.11)	-0.32***(0.03)	-0.00 (0.15)	-0.43***(0.03)	-0.28*(0.11)
<i>Interaction</i>	0.00 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.03 (0.03)	0.01 (0.01)	0.04 (0.02)	0.01 (0.01)	-0.07*(0.03)	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.03 (0.02)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.27***(0.06)	0.27***(0.06)	0.27***(0.06)	0.28***(0.06)	0.33***(0.09)	0.32***(0.09)	0.26**(0.08)	0.26**(0.08)	0.13 (0.10)	0.13 (0.10)	0.18*(0.08)	0.16 (0.08)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.39***(0.06)	0.38***(0.06)	0.13*(0.06)	0.12*(0.06)	0.58***(0.09)	0.58***(0.09)	0.51***(0.08)	0.48***(0.08)	0.38***(0.09)	0.38***(0.09)	0.43***(0.08)	0.41***(0.08)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.07 (0.04)	0.06 (0.04)	0.13*(0.06)	0.14*(0.06)	0.14 (0.07)	0.14 (0.07)	0.20**(0.07)	0.18*(0.07)	0.47***(0.08)	0.45***(0.08)	0.13 (0.07)	0.15*(0.07)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.22***(0.05)	0.24***(0.05)	0.11 (0.06)	0.13*(0.06)	0.32***(0.09)	0.32***(0.09)	0.32***(0.08)	0.35***(0.08)	0.34**(0.10)	0.34**(0.10)	0.41***(0.08)	0.37***(0.08)
<i>Female</i>	-0.18 (0.10)	-0.13 (0.10)	0.01 (0.11)	0.08 (0.11)	0.09 (0.11)	0.10 (0.11)	0.06 (0.10)	0.10 (0.10)	0.00 (0.12)	0.09 (0.12)	-0.02 (0.11)	0.02 (0.11)
<i>Age</i>	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Education</i>	-0.09 (0.08)	-0.08 (0.08)	0.05 (0.09)	0.06 (0.09)	0.04 (0.09)	0.03 (0.09)	-0.13 (0.09)	-0.15 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.10)	-0.09 (0.10)	-0.12 (0.09)	-0.08 (0.09)
<i>Constant</i>	5.28***(0.46)	6.18***(0.60)	7.11***(0.43)	8.17***(0.70)	1.88***(0.49)	2.09*(1.02)	3.49***(0.46)	6.25***(0.89)	3.30***(0.49)	2.11 (1.07)	3.94***(0.49)	4.64***(0.85)
Observations	745	736	742	736	669	669	679	679	634	634	714	714
R ²	0.83	0.83	0.78	0.77	0.62	0.62	0.78	0.78	0.63	0.63	0.73	0.74
Adjusted R ²	0.82	0.82	0.77	0.77	0.62	0.62	0.77	0.78	0.63	0.62	0.72	0.73
F Statistic	349.17*** (df = 10;734)	342.75*** (df = 10;725)	254.26*** (df = 10;731)	242.69*** (df = 10;725)	108.4*** (df = 10;658)	109.2*** (df = 10;658)	230.35*** (df = 10;668)	237.33*** (df = 10;668)	108.12*** (df = 10;623)	106.08*** (df = 10;623)	188.83*** (df = 10;703)	196.75*** (df = 10;703)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table B6. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1.INT) and Comparative Incivility Perceptions (M2.INT) and their Interaction with Party Sympathy in Germany by Candidate

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Weidel		Laschet		Lidner		Scholz		Baerbock		Wissler	
	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.10 (0.05)	-0.31**(0.10)	0.10*(0.05)	-0.25 (0.14)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.27 (0.15)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.16 (0.09)	-0.09*(0.05)	-0.39***(0.11)	0.02 (0.06)	-0.25 (0.19)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	-0.41***(0.04)	-0.45***(0.06)	-0.20***(0.04)	-0.27***(0.10)	-0.53***(0.04)	-0.63***(0.11)	-0.47***(0.03)	-0.50***(0.08)	-0.36***(0.04)	-0.43***(0.08)	-0.35***(0.04)	-0.44***(0.12)
<i>Interaction</i>	0.01 (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	-0.02*(0.01)	0.00 (0.02)	0.01 (0.01)	0.03 (0.02)	0.02*(0.01)	0.02 (0.02)	0.01 (0.01)	0.03 (0.02)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.02 (0.02)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.17 (0.11)	0.13 (0.11)	0.31**(0.10)	0.28**(0.10)	0.21*(0.09)	0.21*(0.09)	0.29**(0.10)	0.27**(0.10)	0.30**(0.10)	0.31**(0.10)	0.38**(0.13)	0.38**(0.13)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.73***(0.11)	0.69***(0.11)	0.39***(0.09)	0.38***(0.09)	0.51***(0.08)	0.50***(0.08)	0.41***(0.08)	0.41***(0.08)	0.69***(0.09)	0.66***(0.09)	0.48***(0.12)	0.46***(0.12)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	-0.03 (0.07)	-0.02 (0.07)	0.30***(0.08)	0.33***(0.08)	0.23**(0.08)	0.21**(0.08)	0.21**(0.08)	0.19*(0.08)	0.29***(0.08)	0.28***(0.08)	0.24*(0.11)	0.26*(0.11)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.44***(0.10)	0.42***(0.10)	0.33***(0.09)	0.31***(0.08)	0.21*(0.08)	0.20*(0.08)	0.50***(0.08)	0.50***(0.08)	0.22*(0.09)	0.20*(0.09)	0.19 (0.12)	0.16 (0.12)
<i>Female</i>	-0.21 (0.13)	-0.21 (0.13)	-0.09 (0.13)	-0.07 (0.13)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.05 (0.12)	-0.10 (0.12)	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.24 (0.12)	-0.23 (0.12)	-0.09 (0.16)	-0.10 (0.16)
<i>Age</i>	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01**(0.00)	0.01**(0.00)	0.01*(0.00)	0.01*(0.00)	0.01*(0.01)	0.01 (0.01)
<i>Education</i>	-0.10 (0.10)	-0.08 (0.10)	-0.07 (0.09)	-0.09 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.09)	-0.10 (0.09)	-0.11 (0.09)	0.08 (0.09)	0.10 (0.09)	-0.15 (0.11)	-0.16 (0.11)
<i>Constant</i>	3.24***(0.54)	4.49***(0.70)	1.12*(0.49)	2.90**(0.85)	4.08***(0.51)	5.26***(0.86)	2.72***(0.48)	3.49***(0.62)	1.50**(0.51)	3.11***(0.71)	2.06**(0.62)	3.40**(1.08)
Observations	709	707	743	741	722	722	736	734	734	734	582	582
R ²	0.72	0.72	0.59	0.59	0.69	0.69	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.74	0.56	0.56
Adjusted R ²	0.71	0.72	0.58	0.59	0.68	0.68	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.55	0.55
F Statistic	175.44*** (df = 10;698)	178.79*** (df = 10;696)	103.49*** (df = 10;732)	105.7*** (df = 10;730)	156*** (df = 10;711)	156.42*** (df = 10;711)	208.03*** (df = 10;725)	205.81*** (df = 10;723)	199.38*** (df = 10;723)	204.11*** (df = 10;723)	71.98*** (df = 10;571)	72.32*** (df = 10;571)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table B7. OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1.INT) and Comparative Incivility Perceptions (M2.INT) and their Interaction with Party Sympathy in France by Candidate

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy															
	Zemmour		Le Pen		Macron		Pécresse		Hidalgo		Jadot		Mélénchon		Poutou	
	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2	M1	M2
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.21*** (0.05)	-0.65*** (0.09)	-0.12** (0.04)	-0.16* (0.06)	-0.17*** (0.04)	-0.31*** (0.07)	-0.23*** (0.06)	-0.89*** (0.15)	0.23** (0.08)	0.81*** (0.23)	0.05 (0.05)	-0.16 (0.15)	-0.09* (0.05)	-0.25* (0.11)	-0.10** (0.04)	-0.60*** (0.10)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	-0.53*** (0.04)	-0.71*** (0.07)	-0.59*** (0.04)	-0.62*** (0.06)	-0.59*** (0.04)	-0.64*** (0.06)	-0.50*** (0.04)	-0.73*** (0.10)	-0.07 (0.05)	0.19 (0.14)	-0.42*** (0.04)	-0.38*** (0.10)	-0.59*** (0.04)	-0.53*** (0.08)	0.31*** (0.05)	0.06 (0.10)
<i>Interaction</i>	0.03*** (0.01)	0.07*** (0.01)	0.01* (0.01)	0.02 (0.01)	0.02** (0.01)	0.03* (0.01)	0.03*** (0.01)	0.08*** (0.02)	-0.02 (0.01)	-0.08* (0.03)	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.01 (0.02)	0.01 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.02* (0.01)	0.07** (0.02)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.32** (0.10)	0.32** (0.10)	0.25** (0.08)	0.26** (0.08)	0.35*** (0.08)	0.34*** (0.08)	0.32** (0.09)	0.29** (0.09)	-0.10 (0.15)	-0.11 (0.15)	0.40*** (0.10)	0.37*** (0.10)	0.35*** (0.08)	0.32*** (0.08)	0.08 (0.11)	0.03 (0.11)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.68*** (0.09)	0.62*** (0.09)	0.41*** (0.08)	0.41*** (0.08)	0.36*** (0.08)	0.36*** (0.08)	0.41*** (0.09)	0.35*** (0.09)	-0.09 (0.14)	-0.07 (0.14)	0.29** (0.09)	0.29** (0.09)	0.31*** (0.08)	0.30*** (0.08)	0.37** (0.11)	0.35** (0.11)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.02 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)	0.05 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)	0.12* (0.06)	0.12* (0.06)	0.02 (0.07)	0.06 (0.07)	0.30** (0.10)	0.29** (0.10)	0.05 (0.08)	0.08 (0.08)	0.01 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)	-0.01 (0.09)	0.03 (0.09)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.38*** (0.09)	0.32*** (0.09)	0.30*** (0.08)	0.30** (0.08)	0.26** (0.08)	0.27** (0.08)	0.35*** (0.09)	0.31*** (0.09)	0.34** (0.11)	0.34** (0.11)	0.34*** (0.08)	0.31*** (0.08)	0.28*** (0.08)	0.27** (0.08)	0.74*** (0.09)	0.69*** (0.09)
<i>Female</i>	-0.08 (0.12)	-0.03 (0.12)	-0.05 (0.12)	-0.02 (0.12)	-0.02 (0.11)	0.01 (0.11)	-0.29* (0.13)	-0.21 (0.13)	-0.49** (0.17)	-0.56** (0.17)	0.22 (0.13)	0.17 (0.12)	0.05 (0.11)	0.06 (0.11)	0.34*(0.1 6)	0.24 (0.15)
<i>Age</i>	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.01 (0.00)	0.01* (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.01 (0.00)	0.01 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.01)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Education</i>	0.02 (0.10)	0.03 (0.10)	-0.30** (0.10)	-0.31** (0.10)	0.10 (0.10)	0.08 (0.10)	-0.00 (0.11)	-0.02 (0.11)	0.14 (0.15)	0.18 (0.15)	0.25* (0.11)	0.24*(0.1 1)	0.12 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.24 (0.13)	0.22 (0.13)
<i>Constant</i>	3.27*** (0.55)	5.71*** (0.68)	6.10*** (0.53)	6.33*** (0.60)	4.12*** (0.50)	4.92*** (0.60)	4.19** (0.53)	7.55*** (0.84)	1.71*(0.71)	-1.11 (1.21)	2.48*** (0.52)	3.36*** (0.81)	4.91*** (0.52)	5.62*** (0.71)	-0.90 (0.50)	1.82* (0.71)
Observations	868	866	946	946	928	928	897	897	888	888	886	886	932	932	806	806
R ²	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.51	0.53	0.1	0.1	0.55	0.55	0.73	0.73	0.53	0.54
Adjusted R ²	0.71	0.72	0.75	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.51	0.52	0.09	0.09	0.55	0.55	0.73	0.73	0.52	0.54
F Statistic	214.52*** (df = 10;857)	226.46*** (df = 10;855)	281.48*** (df = 10;935)	280.18*** (df = 10;935)	250.53*** (df = 10;917)	251.71*** (df = 10;917)	93.16*** (df = 10;886)	99.4*** (df = 10;886)	9.89*** (df = 10;877)	10.15*** (df = 10;877)	107.49*** (df = 10;875)	108.51*** (df = 10;875)	249.3*** (df = 10;921)	253.44*** (df = 10;921)	88.08*** (df = 10;795)	93.64*** (df = 10;795)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Appendix C: Robustness Check

Table C1. Replication of OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M1), Comparative Candidate Incivility Perceptions (M2), and their Interaction with Party Sympathy (M1.INT, M2.INT) including Straightliners (NL: N = 977; DE: N = 900; FR: N = 1122)

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Netherlands				Germany				France			
	M1	M2	M1.INT	M1.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Incivility Perceptions</i>	-0.10***(0.01)	-0.26***(0.03)	-0.10***(0.02)	-0.27***(0.03)	0.00 (0.01)	-0.12***(0.03)	0.03 (0.02)	-0.03 (0.03)	-0.03*(0.01)	-0.22***(0.03)	-0.00 (0.02)	-0.17***(0.04)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.48***(0.02)	0.47***(0.02)	0.48***(0.02)	0.46***(0.04)	0.38***(0.02)	0.37***(0.02)	0.40***(0.02)	0.50***(0.03)	0.45***(0.02)	0.43***(0.02)	0.49***(0.02)	0.49***(0.03)
<i>Interaction</i>			0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.03***(0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.01 (0.01)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.29***(0.04)	0.30***(0.04)	0.29***(0.04)	0.29***(0.04)	0.30***(0.05)	0.29***(0.04)	0.30***(0.05)	0.29***(0.04)	0.26***(0.04)	0.24***(0.04)	0.26***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.36***(0.04)	0.34***(0.04)	0.36***(0.04)	0.34***(0.04)	0.51***(0.05)	0.49***(0.05)	0.51***(0.05)	0.49***(0.05)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.14***(0.03)	0.16***(0.03)	0.14***(0.03)	0.16***(0.03)	0.20***(0.04)	0.21***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.21***(0.04)	0.03 (0.03)	0.06**(0.02)	0.02 (0.03)	0.06*(0.02)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.23***(0.03)	0.25***(0.03)	0.23***(0.03)	0.25***(0.03)	0.32***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.32***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.42***(0.04)	0.39***(0.04)	0.42***(0.04)	0.39***(0.04)
<i>Female</i>	0.09 (0.10)	0.10 (0.10)	0.09 (0.10)	0.10 (0.10)	0.08 (0.12)	0.09 (0.12)	0.07 (0.12)	0.07 (0.12)	0.12 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)	0.11 (0.08)	0.09 (0.08)
<i>Age</i>	0.00 (0.10)	-0.09 (0.10)	0.00 (0.10)	-0.09 (0.10)	0.11 (0.09)	0.08 (0.09)	0.11 (0.09)	0.09 (0.09)	0.06 (0.06)	0.06 (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)	0.06 (0.06)
<i>Education</i>	0.09 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)	0.09 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)	0.06 (0.10)	0.05 (0.10)	0.07 (0.10)	0.07 (0.10)	0.26***(0.07)	0.24***(0.07)	0.25***(0.07)	0.24***(0.07)
<i>Constant</i>	-1.16***(0.26)	0.39 (0.37)	-1.13***(0.27)	0.44 (0.38)	-2.29***(0.14)	-1.40***(0.24)	-2.42***(0.16)	-1.93***(0.26)	-2.43***(0.16)	-1.18***(0.24)	-2.59***(0.17)	-1.45***(0.27)
Observations	4501	4479	4501	4479	4662	4655	4662	4655	7385	7380	7385	7380
R ²	0.75	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
Adjusted R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.7	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.66	0.66
F Statistic	1578.15*** (df = 9;828)	1545.19*** (df = 9;806)	1523.22*** (df = 10;828)	1428.4*** (df = 10;806)	1216.89*** (df = 9;831)	1255.26*** (df = 9;824)	1087.32*** (df = 10;831)	1145.15*** (df = 10;824)	1294.12*** (df = 9;1000)	1255.258*** (df = 9;824)	1245.46*** (df = 10;1000)	1348.3*** (df = 10;995)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table C2. Replication of OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Insult Perceptions (M1), Comparative Candidate Insult Perceptions (M2), and their Interaction with Party Sympathy (M1.INT, M2.INT)

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Netherlands				Germany				France			
	M1	M2	M1.INT	M1.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Insult Perceptions</i>	-0.10***(0.01)	-0.23***(0.02)	-0.11***(0.02)	-0.25***(0.03)	0.00 (0.01)	-0.08**(0.02)	0.03*(0.01)	-0.02 (0.03)	-0.03**(0.01)	-0.16***(0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.13***(0.03)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.46***(0.02)	0.45***(0.02)	0.45***(0.02)	0.42***(0.03)	0.37***(0.02)	0.36***(0.02)	0.39***(0.02)	0.45***(0.03)	0.44***(0.02)	0.43***(0.02)	0.46***(0.02)	0.47***(0.03)
<i>Interaction</i>			0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.02**(0.01)			-0.00 (0.00)	-0.01 (0.00)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.27***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.26***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.32***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.32***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.26***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)	0.26***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.39***(0.04)	0.38***(0.04)	0.39***(0.04)	0.38***(0.04)	0.53***(0.05)	0.51***(0.05)	0.53***(0.05)	0.51***(0.05)	0.42***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.16***(0.03)	0.17***(0.03)	0.17***(0.03)	0.17***(0.03)	0.20***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.03 (0.03)	0.05*(0.02)	0.02 (0.03)	0.05 (0.02)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.24***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)	0.24***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.32***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)	0.44***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Female</i>	0.15 (0.11)	0.18 (0.11)	0.15 (0.11)	0.18 (0.11)	-0.02 (0.12)	-0.03 (0.12)	-0.03 (0.12)	-0.05 (0.12)	0.12 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)	0.12 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)
<i>Age</i>	-0.02 (0.11)	-0.11 (0.11)	-0.02 (0.11)	-0.11 (0.11)	0.14 (0.09)	0.13 (0.09)	0.14 (0.09)	0.14 (0.09)	0.08 (0.06)	0.07 (0.06)	0.09 (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)
<i>Education</i>	0.07 (0.07)	0.04 (0.07)	0.07 (0.07)	0.04 (0.07)	0.15 (0.10)	0.13 (0.10)	0.16 (0.10)	0.15 (0.10)	0.23**(0.07)	0.23**(0.07)	0.23**(0.07)	0.23**(0.07)
<i>Constant</i>	-1.21***(0.26)	0.07 (0.35)	-1.14***(0.27)	0.21 (0.37)	-2.32***(0.13)	-1.71***(0.20)	-2.47***(0.15)	-2.10***(0.22)	-2.41***(0.16)	-1.55***(0.21)	-2.50***(0.16)	-1.74***(0.24)
Observations	3985	3965	3985	3965	4080	4071	4080	4071	7028	7025	7028	7025
R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66
Adjusted R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66
F Statistic	1413.18*** (df = 9;740)	1382.69*** (df = 9;720)	1362.75*** (df = 10;740)	1277.05*** (df = 10;720)	1203.2*** (df = 9;739)	1208.81*** (df = 9;730)	1082.3*** (df = 10;739)	1100.07*** (df = 10;730)	1224.16*** (df = 9;959)	1208.807*** (df = 9;730)	1157.66*** (df = 10;959)	1271.19*** (df = 10;956)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table C3. Replication of OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate NegEm Perceptions (M1), Comparative Candidate NegEm Perceptions (M2), and their Interaction with Party Sympathy (M1.INT, M2.INT)

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Netherlands				Germany				France			
	M1	M2	M1.INT	M1.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Negem Perceptions</i>	-0.08***(0.01)	-0.23***(0.03)	-0.10***(0.02)	-0.25***(0.03)	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.10***(0.03)	0.03*(0.02)	-0.01 (0.03)	-0.04**(0.01)	-0.19***(0.02)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.16***(0.03)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.46***(0.02)	0.45***(0.02)	0.45***(0.02)	0.42***(0.04)	0.37***(0.02)	0.36***(0.02)	0.40***(0.02)	0.49***(0.03)	0.44***(0.02)	0.43***(0.02)	0.48***(0.02)	0.47***(0.03)
<i>Interaction</i>			0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.01)			-0.01**(0.00)	-0.03***(0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.01 (0.01)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.28***(0.04)	0.28***(0.04)	0.28***(0.04)	0.28***(0.04)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.26***(0.04)	0.24***(0.04)	0.26***(0.04)	0.24***(0.04)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.40***(0.04)	0.38***(0.04)	0.40***(0.04)	0.38***(0.04)	0.52***(0.05)	0.50***(0.05)	0.52***(0.05)	0.50***(0.05)	0.42***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)	0.42***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.16***(0.03)	0.16***(0.03)	0.16***(0.03)	0.17***(0.03)	0.20***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.03 (0.03)	0.06*(0.03)	0.02 (0.03)	0.05*(0.03)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.26***(0.03)	0.27***(0.03)	0.26***(0.03)	0.27***(0.03)	0.32***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.33***(0.05)	0.32***(0.05)	0.43***(0.04)	0.40***(0.04)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Female</i>	0.11 (0.11)	0.12 (0.11)	0.11 (0.11)	0.12 (0.11)	-0.05 (0.12)	-0.04 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	0.13 (0.08)	0.11 (0.08)	0.12 (0.08)	0.11 (0.08)
<i>Age</i>	0.00 (0.11)	-0.07 (0.11)	-0.00 (0.11)	-0.07 (0.11)	0.19*(0.09)	0.16 (0.09)	0.19*(0.09)	0.17 (0.09)	0.07 (0.06)	0.07 (0.06)	0.09 (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)
<i>Education</i>	0.11 (0.08)	0.06 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)	0.06 (0.08)	0.12 (0.10)	0.11 (0.10)	0.13 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.24**(0.07)	0.23**(0.07)	0.23**(0.07)	0.22**(0.07)
<i>Constant</i>	-1.43***(0.26)	0.06 (0.38)	-1.37***(0.26)	0.16 (0.39)	-2.30***(0.13)	-1.58***(0.22)	-2.46***(0.15)	-2.13***(0.25)	-2.41***(0.16)	-1.38***(0.22)	-2.55***(0.17)	-1.58***(0.25)
Observations	3964	3946	3964	3946	4015	4000	4015	4000	7000	6995	7000	6995
R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66
Adjusted R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66
F Statistic	1343.75*** (df = 9;730)	1323.22*** (df = 9;712)	1239.45*** (df = 10;730)	1209.55*** (df = 10;712)	1194.12*** (df = 9;734)	1175.14*** (df = 9;719)	1076.25*** (df = 10;734)	1074.78*** (df = 10;719)	1217.08*** (df = 9;952)	1175.136*** (df = 9;719)	1197.39*** (df = 10;952)	1277.1*** (df = 10;947)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05

Table C3. Replication of OLS Multiple Regression Models Predicting Candidate Sympathy from Individual Candidate Sarcasm Perceptions (M1), Comparative Candidate Sarcasm Perceptions (M2), and their Interaction with Party Sympathy (M1.INT, M2.INT)

	Dependent variable: Candidate Sympathy											
	Netherlands				Germany				France			
	M1	M2	M1.INT	M1.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT	M1	M2	M1.INT	M2.INT
<i>Sarcasm Perceptions</i>	-0.09***(0.01)	-0.20***(0.02)	-0.12***(0.02)	-0.23***(0.03)	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.12***(0.03)	0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.03)	-0.02 (0.01)	-0.18***(0.02)	0.01 (0.02)	-0.14***(0.03)
<i>Party Sympathy</i>	0.46***(0.02)	0.46***(0.02)	0.44***(0.02)	0.41***(0.03)	0.37***(0.02)	0.36***(0.02)	0.39***(0.02)	0.50***(0.03)	0.44***(0.02)	0.43***(0.02)	0.48***(0.02)	0.48***(0.03)
<i>Interaction</i>			0.01*(0.00)	0.01 (0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.03***(0.01)			-0.01*(0.00)	-0.01 (0.01)
<i>Competence Perceptions</i>	0.27***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.27***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)	0.27***(0.04)	0.25***(0.04)
<i>Empathy Perceptions</i>	0.39***(0.04)	0.37***(0.04)	0.38***(0.04)	0.37***(0.04)	0.52***(0.05)	0.50***(0.05)	0.52***(0.05)	0.50***(0.05)	0.42***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)	0.43***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Leadership Perceptions</i>	0.17***(0.03)	0.18***(0.03)	0.17***(0.03)	0.18***(0.03)	0.20***(0.04)	0.21***(0.04)	0.20***(0.04)	0.21***(0.04)	0.02 (0.03)	0.06*(0.03)	0.02 (0.03)	0.05*(0.03)
<i>Honesty Perceptions</i>	0.24***(0.03)	0.26***(0.04)	0.24***(0.03)	0.26***(0.04)	0.31***(0.05)	0.29***(0.05)	0.31***(0.05)	0.30***(0.05)	0.44***(0.04)	0.40***(0.04)	0.44***(0.04)	0.41***(0.04)
<i>Female</i>	0.11 (0.11)	0.14 (0.11)	0.12 (0.11)	0.14 (0.11)	-0.05 (0.12)	-0.05 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	-0.06 (0.12)	0.15 (0.08)	0.13 (0.08)	0.14 (0.08)	0.13 (0.08)
<i>Age</i>	-0.01 (0.11)	-0.07 (0.11)	-0.01 (0.11)	-0.07 (0.11)	0.18 (0.09)	0.14 (0.09)	0.18 (0.09)	0.14 (0.09)	0.08 (0.06)	0.07 (0.06)	0.09 (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)
<i>Education</i>	0.12 (0.07)	0.08 (0.08)	0.10 (0.08)	0.08 (0.08)	0.13 (0.10)	0.13 (0.10)	0.14 (0.10)	0.15 (0.10)	0.22**(0.07)	0.21**(0.07)	0.22**(0.07)	0.21**(0.07)
<i>Constant</i>	-1.31***(0.26)	-0.33 (0.33)	-1.18***(0.26)	-0.14 (0.35)	-2.27***(0.14)	-1.40***(0.23)	-2.39***(0.16)	-1.97***(0.25)	-2.52***(0.16)	-1.45***(0.22)	-2.68***(0.17)	-1.69***(0.26)
Observations	3957	3939	3957	3939	4019	4008	4019	4008	6922	6916	6922	6916
R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
Adjusted R ²	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
F Statistic	1357.09*** (df = 9;731)	1333*** (df = 9;713)	1241.18*** (df = 10;731)	1208.32*** (df = 10;713)	1177.44*** (df = 9;725)	1199.98*** (df = 9;714)	1050.2*** (df = 10;725)	1096.45*** (df = 10;714)	1191.66*** (df = 9;942)	1199.983*** (df = 9;714)	1136.03*** (df = 10;942)	1208.31*** (df = 10;936)

Notes: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05