Figure 2. Testing cross-sectional, lagged, and autoregressive effects of online uncivil discussion on illegal protest, mediated through ideological extremity



Notes: Sample size = 1,337 (Wave 1); 511 (Wave 2). Path entries are standardized Beta coefficients. The variables in Table 2 were included as control variables in the model. Bootstrap samples for CI: 5000 simulations. The model includes the same controls and predictors as Models in Table 2. The point estimates of the indirect effects are Cross-sectional: β = -.001, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.003, .0004]; Lagged: β = .000, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.002, .002]; Autoregressive: β = .001, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.002, .005]; CI = confidence interval.

Figure 3. Testing cross-sectional, lagged, and autoregressive effects of online uncivil discussion on illegal protest, moderated by ideological extremity



Notes: Sample size = 1,337 (Wave 1); 511 (Wave 2). Path entries are standardized Beta coefficients. The variables in Table 2 were included as control variables in the model. Bootstrap samples for CI: 5000 simulations. The model includes the same controls and predictors as Models in Table 2. The point estimates of the interaction effects are Cross-sectional: β = -.020, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.046, .057]; Lagged: β = .032, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.006, .069]; Autoregressive: β = .054, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.144, .043]; CI = confidence interval.

Figure 4. Testing cross-sectional, lagged, and autoregressive effects of online uncivil discussion on illegal protest, mediated through political interest



Notes: Sample size = 1,337 (Wave 1); 511 (Wave 2). Path entries are standardized Beta coefficients. The variables in Table 2 were included as control variables in the model. Bootstrap samples for CI: 5000 simulations. The model includes the same controls and predictors as Models in Table 2. The point estimates of the indirect effects are Cross-sectional: β = .002, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.0001, .005]; Lagged: β = .001, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.002, .005]; Autoregressive: β = .0001, $p > .05$, 95% CI = [-.002, .003]; CI = confidence interval.

Table 3. Correlation matrix of attrition with the key W1 predictors

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Offline Uncivil DiscussionW1	1						
2. Online Uncivil Discussion W1	.673**	1					
3. Offline Uncivil Discussion W1	.534**	.595**	1				
4. Online Uncivil Discussion W1	.481**	.730**	.795**	1			
5. Legal Protest W1	.373**	.507**	.557**	.576**	1		
6. Illegal Protest participation W1	.283**	.454**	.595**	.626**	.784**	1	
7. Attrition	-.078**	-.125**	-.112**	-.158**	-.127**	-.132**	1

Notes: Sample size =1337(W1); 511 (W2). Cell entries are two-tailed zero-order correlation coefficients. * p < .05; ** p < .01; *** p <.001. Pearson coefficients based on bootstrapping to 5,000 samples with confidence intervals set at 95%. Variable "Attrition" is a binary variable reflects participants who filled in the W2 survey (=1) or did not fill it in (=0).